		Application No. Applicant		Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Sumi	mary	09/895,050		PERBOST, MICHEL G. M.	
Examiner-initiated interview Sumi		Examiner	-	Art Unit	
		Gary Jones		1634	
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Board Decision Rendered</u>					
(1) <u>Gary Jones</u> .		(3)			
(2) <u>Dianne Rees(Reg. # 45281)</u> .		(4)			
Date of Interview: 29 December 2004		Time:			
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	☐ Applica	ant's representative)			
Part I.		. 4			
Rejection(s) discussed:					
Claims discussed: 29-35  Prior art documents discussed:					
Part II.	UE OENE	DAL MATURE OF MULA	. T . M / A O	DIGGLIGGED	,
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING T See Continuation Sheet	HE GENE	RAL NATURE OF WHA	AT WAS	DISCUSSED:	
Part III.		·			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a directly resulted in the allowance of the appli of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a did not result in resolution of all issues. A brid</li> </ul>	cation. The separate i	e examiner will provide record of the substance	a writter of the in	n summary of the strict of the	he substance
VV. Gary Jones					
Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600				· · <del>- · · · · ·</del>	
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant	/Applicant's Representa	ative Sigi	nature – if appr	opriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Jones indicated that in light of the Board Decision, apparatus claims 29-31, 34 and 35 are allowable. However, the application would be reopened and a new office action would be rendered on the computer program product claims 32-33. The attorney agreed to cancel claims 32-32 without prejudice by examiner's amendment in order to place the application into condition for allowance.